

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,138 02/11/2005		Pierrick Girard	3952-74	2457	
23117	7590 10/06/2006	EXAMINER			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			PALO, FRANCIS T		
901 NORTH (ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FL I. VA 22203	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			3644		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/524,138		GIRARD ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Francis T. P.		3644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I NEW STATE OF THE MAILING I SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event d will apply and will e ste, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the stone of the become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 2005	!•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/o	awn from cons						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions
which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept
under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I: claim(s) 1 and 5-7, drawn to a process of making.

group-IOS; a reinforcing grid on one or both surfaces of a fibrous support.

group-IFP; a reinforcing grid attached to the fixing points of a fibrous support.

group-IES; a reinforcing grid entangled to the surface of a fibrous support.

Group II, claim(s) 1-4 and 8-20 drawn to a product (fibrous support).

The inventions listed as **Groups-I** and **II** do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

While the combination of the two categories is permitted under PCT practice, only one invention is permitted in each category, and the Group-I category contains at least three inventions directed to products having reinforcing means optionally located, which could result in or be used as other products than as claimed.

Art Unit: 3644

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted; specifically, one of the three process groups IOS, IFP or IES is permissible in combination with the product of Group-II.

Further, the independent claim should be rewritten without the indefinite language "or" as concerns the reinforcing means location limitations, as current claimed.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows and are deemed to correspond in the following manner:

Art Unit: 3644

Group-II (Product):

Species-IIGBP, claim-2;

Or,

Species-IIGVT, claim-3

And,

Species-IISTF, claim-8

Or,

Species-IIS18, claim-18

Or,

Species-IIS19, claim-19,

Or.

Species-IIS20, claim-20

And,

Species-IIC12, claim-12,

Or,

Species-IIC13, claim-13

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,138

Art Unit: 3644

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: currently claim-1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The various characterizations effect different products with different uses.

A telephone <u>call was not made</u> to applicants representative on 9/30/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse.

If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis T. Palo whose telephone number is 571-272-6907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu.,Th.-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,138

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Francis T. Palo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

Francis T. Palo

Page 7